





Appendix 2

In total 41 schools responded to the consultation an overall response rate of 61.2%. A return was also received form the Governors' Viewpoint Group.





Question 1

Do you agree that the current 2007/08 funding proportions (shown in Annex 2) represent a satisfactory balance of per pupil funding between mainstream primary and secondary schools?





Question 2

Do you agree to the proposal to transfer School Improvement funding in to the LMS Funding Formula from 2008/09 on the basis set out in paragraph 23?





Question 3

Do you agree to the proposal to transfer Newly Qualified Teacher funding in to the LMS Funding Formula from 2008/09 on the basis set out in paragraph 25?



Question 4

Do you agree to the proposals for redistributing per pupil and lump sum funding within the AEN, Non-statemented and Statemented SEN factors as set out at paragraph 36?





Question 5

Who should make decisions on the allocation of the SEN Contingency:

a. Local Authority officers?



b. A panel of headteachers, supported by officers?

c. The Schools Forum?



Question 6

Do you agree that no further changes should be made to the secondary personalisation factor?



Question 7



Do you agree that the primary personalisation factor should be changed to allocate funding on the following basis?

15% based on pupil numbers

15% based a lump sum for each school



50% based on low attainment

20% based on high attainment





Question 8

Do you agree that any move to using the IMD as a deprivation measure should be delayed until at least April 2011 to allow further research to be undertaken?





Question 9

Do you agree that Secondary Social Inclusion funding should be merged in to the Non-Statemented SEN factor?



Question 10

Do you agree to presenting prior attainment data within the Statemented SEN factor on the basis of absolute numbers of pupils rather than percentages?





Question 11

Which of the 3 options for Infant Class Size funding set out at paragraphs 66-69 do you support?

a. Retain the current factor?

b. Reallocate all funding to the infant age AWPUs?



c. £50k ICS contingency with balance to the infant age AWPUs?



Question 12



If option 3 were to be implemented, who should make decisions on the allocation of the ICS Contingency:

- a. Local Authority officers?
- b. A panel of headteachers, supported by officers?
- c. The Schools Forum?





Question 13

Do you agree that a Small Secondary School factor should be introduced as set out at paragraph 74 & 75?



Question 14

Do you agree to the introduction of an age weighted element to the Special School and Enhanced Resource Centre formula funded by reductions in the place values and a redirection of £100k from the centrally held SEN Recoupment budget. (see paragraphs 88 – 92)?



Question 15

Do you agree that the existing ceilings and floors mechanism should continue to be used to smooth the transition to revised funding levels for individual schools?

